



Landscape Architecture Plan Review:

1. A statement indicating material availability was not provided.

Response:

The statement was inadvertently omitted in the previous submittal and is included with this resubmittal.

2. Berm width does not meet minimum requirement to create a 6 ft berm at a max of 3:1 slope.

Response:

Based on the current preliminary engineering plans, in order for drainage to properly work, the berms have been designed as depicted on the plans which is ultimately five (5) feet in height as measured by the toe of the berm.

3. Locations of sign features and walls seem to be located on the berm slope. Indicate how this will look and function. Clearly indicate where walls start and stop and picket fences start and stop.

Response:

The signs will be located on a plateau within the berm outside of the slope and this is depicted on all of the revised plans to be submitted. The wall and fence locations are depicted within the Master Development Plan Set on sheet FSP.5 and will be included in the resubmittal package.

4. Show grade lines where the berm crosses pedestrian paths.

Response:

The berm is being modified to where it will no longer will cross the pedestrian path. These modifications will be reflected on the revised landscape plans.

5. More shrub masses along berms are recommended.

Response:

Shrub masses were added adjacent to the berm per prior comments.

6. A landscape tabulation table was not provided for overall site or for home totals. Please provide.

Response:

A landscape tabulation table was provided for the previous submittal and includes the perimeter buffer requirements and the native requirements for trees and shrubs. The table has been revised for the resubmittal to include the requirements for street trees and single-family homes.

7. Add shade trees along wildlife viewing areas which would provide for shelter and food source

Response:

Shade trees and aquatic shrubs have been added to the viewing areas along the slopes per comment.

8. There are missing plant tags noted Sheet 16.

Response:

The tags have been depicted on Sheet 16 per comment.

9. Tree inventory must also include disposition. Show calculations and how mitigations are being handled.

Response:

The Tree Disposition spreadsheet has been revised to include color coded notations that describes the disposition of the existing trees and palms. The cumulative total of the canopy removed and a breakdown of the mitigation replacement calculations as taken from the Tree Removal & Relocation Permit Application will also be provided with the resubmittal.

10. Additional comment from Kevin Woodall, City of Oakland Park: recommend the use of small shade trees in the planning areas in front of the 24' and 20' townhomes.

Response:

Small shade trees have been provided in the areas in front of the townhomes as directed.

11. Additional landscaping needs to be added to screen the lift station visible from internal streets.

Response:

The proposed landscaping for the lift station consists of trees, palms and shrub massings on all sides. There are limitations with the number of trees and palms that can be installed due to the multiple utility lines that are servicing the lift station. Large native shrubs are being proposed in front of the station to help with the buffering.