

MEMORANDUM

TO:	David Hebert, City Manager
FROM:	Kerri Anne Fisher, Purchasing Manager
CC:	Renee Shrout, City Clerk Andrew Thompson, CFO/Financial Services Director
DATE:	February 22, 2022
RE:	RFP 011322, Downtown Properties Redevelopment Project, Phase II - Development Proposals

On August 2, 2021, the City of Oakland Park released Request for Qualifications, RFQ# 091421 Downtown Properties Redevelopment Project Phase One – Development Firm Pre-Qualification Solicitation. Twelve responses were received, and the City Commission shortlisted the top five (5) ranked firms on November 3, 2021. On November 15, 2021, the City released Request for Proposals, RFP #011322 Downtown Properties Redevelopment Project Phase Two- Development Proposals. All five (5) pre-qualified development firms were invited to submit comprehensive development proposals, and on January 13, 2022, the City received proposals from three (3) firms, including:

- Kaufman Lynn Construction/Falcone Group
- o Merrimac Ventures
- o Terra/Comras Company/Zyscovich

On February 10, 2022, the Evaluation Committee held its first meeting to hear reports from the City's Technical Advisors.

The Evaluation Committee included:

- Albert Carbon, Public Works Director
- o Ana Alvarez, Chief Planning Officer

- Andrew Thompson, CFO/Financial Services Director
- o Brynt Johnson, Engineering & Building Services Director
- o Dr. Steven Tinsley, Community & Economic Development Assistant Director

The Technical Advisors included:

- o Illya Azaroff, +Lab Architects, Architectural Design and Sustainability Advisor
- Ken Krasnow, Colliers International, Real Estate Advisor
- o Paul Lambert, Lambert Advisory, Economic Advisor
- Renee Miller, RMCG, Policy Advisor
- Peter Schwarz, City of Oakland Park Community & Economic Development Director, Urban Planning Advisor

On February 17, 2022, the Evaluation Committee held a second meeting to hear oral presentations from the three (3) development firms, finalize ranking, and provide a recommendation to the City Manager.

The Evaluation Committee scored the proposals based on criteria defined in the RFP. A total of 105 total points were available (100 + 5 bonus points). The scores were as follows:

Firm	Points	Rank
Kaufman Lynn Construction/Falcone Group	100.4	1
Terra/Comras Company/Zyscovich	89.2	2
Merrimac Ventures	80.2	3

Attached is the Evaluation Committee Ranking sheet that provides the detailed scores for each firm.

RFP 011322, Downtown Properties Redevelopment Project, Phase II - Development Proposals



EVALUATION COMMITTEE RANKING

			KL-Falcone Merrimac									nac Ve	entur	es	Terra, Comras				, Zysocovich		
Criteria to be Rated	Description	Max Points	1	2	3	4	5	AVG	1	2	3	4	5	AVG	1	2	3	4	5	AVG	
QUALITY OF DEVELOPM	MENT PROPOSAL					1															
	Does the proposal incorporate high quality architectural																				
1 Design	and urban design?	10	10	9	9	10	10	9.60	10	8	10	8	9	9.00	10	9	9	6	9	8.60	
	Does the proposal incorporate diverse residential housing																				
	products (live work, townhomes, apartments) and																			1	
	amenities (balconies, gathering spaces, wellness) that																			1	
Quality of Residential	maximize the development opportunity allowed on the																			1	
2 Concepts	site?	10	9	9	7	8	10	8.60	8	8	8	9	8	8.20	8	8	8	7	9	8.00	
	Does the proposal incorporate innovative retail and																				
Quality of Non-	commercial concepts and spaces inclusive of daytime																			1	
3 Residential Concepts	activation strategies?	10	10	10	8	9	9	9.20	8	8	8	7	8	7.80	10	9	8	8	9	8.80	
Relocation of Parks	Does the development include the relocation of all																			1	
4 Amenities	recreational amenities to the new Greenleaf Park site?	8	8	8	8	8	8	8.00	8	8	6	8	8	7.60	8	8	6	8	8	7.60	
	Does the proposal include a comprehensive plan for																			1	
	parking to include additional public spaces and alternative																			1	
5 Parking	transportation such as bike and EV stations?	8	8	8	7	8	8	7.80	7	8	5	7	7	6.80	6	8	5	6	6	6.20	
	Does the plan include a concept for the Woonerf and how																				
	this "living street" would be integrated into the																			1	
6 Woonerf	development?	5	5	5	5	5	5	5.00	5	5	5	3	5	4.60	5	5	5	4	5	4.80	
Inclusion of Public	Does the proposal include public gathering spaces and the																				
7 Gathering Spaces	preservation of the Historic tree?	5	5	5	4	5	5	4.80	4	5	5	4	5	4.60	5	5	4	4	5	4.60	
	Does the proposal include Well-designed Urban																				
Inclusion of Urban	Greenspaces that include sustainable elements like																				
8 Greenspace	bioswales and water harvesting?	5	4	5	3	5	5	4.40	5	5	5	5	5	5.00	5	5	5	5	5	5.00	
	Does the proposal include a plan to integrate the future																				
9 Commuter Rail Stop	commuter rail stop?	5	5	5	5	5	5	5.00	5	5	4	4	5	4.60	5	5	4	4	5	4.60	
	Has the development firm disclosed their past																				
	experience advocating for Federal, State, and Local																			1	
	Grant partnerships and did the firm disclose how they																				
	would partner with the City to seek this fund for this																				
10 Grant Partnership	project?	1	1	1	1	1	0	0.80	1	1	1	1	1	1.00	0	1	1	1	0	0.60	

RFP 011322, Downtown Properties Redevelopment Project, Phase II - Development Proposals



EVALUATION COMMITTEE RANKING

s the proposal include the acquisition of adjacent tels that enable a larger site plan development? QUALITY OF DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL TOTAL: PLAN at is the value of the net benefit to the City produced the proposed development? the firm provide a detailed development plan and cal path for the development of this project? Was the able to deliver the project within 48 months of elopment agreement execution?	Max Points 3 70 25	1 3 68 25	2 3 68 25	3 3 60 24	4 3 67	5 3 68	AVG 3.00 66.20	1 3 64	2 3 64	3 3 60	4 3 59	5	AVG 3.00	1 3	2 3	3 3	4 3	5 3	AVG 3.00
A set of the set of th	70	68	68	60	-			-	-	~		-		-	-	3	3	3	3.00
LAN at is the value of the net benefit to the City produced the proposed development? the firm provide a detailed development plan and cal path for the development of this project? Was the able to deliver the project within 48 months of					67	68	66.20	64	64	60	FO	64	The state of the s	10.00		$ \longrightarrow $	_		
at is the value of the net benefit to the City produced the proposed development? the firm provide a detailed development plan and cal path for the development of this project? Was the able to deliver the project within 48 months of	25	25	25	24			Sand Brand States where			00	22	64	62.20	65	66	58	56	64	61.8
he proposed development? the firm provide a detailed development plan and cal path for the development of this project? Was the able to deliver the project within 48 months of	25	25	25	24															
cal path for the development of this project? Was the able to deliver the project within 48 months of					24	25	24.60	10	7	6	10	15	9.60	20	18	15	18	20	18.20
sopment agreement execution:	5	5	5	5	5	5	5.00	5	5	4	3	5	4.40	5	5	4	4	5	4.60
STRENGTH OF FINANCIAL PLAN TOTAL:	30	30	30	29	29	30	29.60	15	12	10	13	20	14.00	25	23	19	22	25	22.8
												130.0							
s the proposal include sustainable building tices that incorporate desired practices such as , gn, construction and stewardship of products and ronments that align human need and ecological urcefulness, water conservation, renewable gy and low embodied carbon?	3	3	3	2	3	2	2.60	3	3	2	3	2	2.60	3	3	3	3	3	3.00
s the proposal include "Community Centered atives" such as: cultural amenities, affordable sing strategies, creative small business ortunities, coworking spaces, makerspaces,	2	2	2	2	2	2	2.00	2	2	1	1	1	1.40	2	2	2	1	1	1.60
wation hubs, incubators, training space, or culinary ool concepts for this project?	5	5	5	4	5	4	4.60	5	5	3	4	3	4.00	5	5	5	4	4	4.60
atives' sing ortuni	such as: cultural amenities, affordable strategies, creative small business ties, coworking spaces, makerspaces, hubs, incubators, training space, or culinary neepts for this project?	such as: cultural amenities, affordable strategies, creative small business ties, coworking spaces, makerspaces, hubs, incubators, training space, or culinary	such as: cultural amenities, affordable strategies, creative small business ties, coworking spaces, makerspaces, hubs, incubators, training space, or culinary neepts for this project? 2 2	such as: cultural amenities, affordable strategies, creative small business ties, coworking spaces, makerspaces, hubs, incubators, training space, or culinary neepts for this project? 2 2 2	such as: cultural amenities, affordable strategies, creative small business ties, coworking spaces, makerspaces, hubs, incubators, training space, or culinary ncepts for this project? 2 2 2 2	such as: cultural amenities, affordable strategies, creative small business ties, coworking spaces, makerspaces, hubs, incubators, training space, or culinary ncepts for this project? 2 2 2 2 2	such as: cultural amenities, affordable strategies, creative small business ties, coworking spaces, makerspaces, hubs, incubators, training space, or culinary ncepts for this project? 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	such as: cultural amenities, affordable strategies, creative small business ties, coworking spaces, makerspaces, hubs, incubators, training space, or culinary ncepts for this project? 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2.00	such as: cultural amenities, affordable strategies, creative small business ties, coworking spaces, makerspaces, hubs, incubators, training space, or culinary ncepts for this project? 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2.00 2	such as: cultural amenities, affordable strategies, creative small business ties, coworking spaces, makerspaces, hubs, incubators, training space, or culinary ncepts for this project? 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2.00 2 2	such as: cultural amenities, affordable strategies, creative small business ties, coworking spaces, makerspaces, hubs, incubators, training space, or culinary neepts for this project? 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2.00 2 2 1	such as: cultural amenities, affordable strategies, creative small business ties, coworking spaces, makerspaces, hubs, incubators, training space, or culinary neepts for this project? 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 1	such as: cultural amenities, affordable strategies, creative small business ties, coworking spaces, makerspaces, hubs, incubators, training space, or culinary ncepts for this project? 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 0 2 1 1 1	such as: cultural amenities, affordable strategies, creative small business ties, coworking spaces, makerspaces, hubs, incubators, training space, or culinary neepts for this project? 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1.40	such as: cultural amenities, affordable strategies, creative small business ties, coworking spaces, makerspaces, hubs, incubators, training space, or culinary neepts for this project? 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 1.40 2	strategies, creative small business ties, coworking spaces, makerspaces, hubs, incubators, training space, or culinary neepts for this project? 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 1.40 2 2	such as: cultural amenities, affordable strategies, creative small business ties, coworking spaces, makerspaces, hubs, incubators, training space, or culinary neepts for this project? 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 1.40 2 2 2	such as: cultural amenities, affordable strategies, creative small business ties, coworking spaces, makerspaces, hubs, incubators, training space, or culinary neepts for this project? 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 0 2 1 1 1 1 1 1.40 2 2 2 1	strategies, creative small business ties, coworking spaces, makerspaces, hubs, incubators, training space, or culinary neepts for this project? 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1.40 2 2 2 1 1

 GRAND TOTAL ALL CATEGORIES:
 105
 103
 103
 93
 101
 102
 100.40
 84
 81
 73
 76
 87
 80.20
 95
 94
 82
 82
 93
 89.20